

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 P.O. Box 1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/936,901	09/19/2001	Norman J, Dovichi		45504-019	2915	
20277 7.	590 11/06/2003			EXAMINER		
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			*		LUDLOW, JAN M	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1743		
				DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/936,901	DOVICHI, NORMAN J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jan M. Ludlow	1743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706,07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	if the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee	
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause;		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
3.⊠ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejective overcome.	ction(s): Rejection under 35 US	C 112, seocnd paragraph is	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment	
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: se		sidered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20, 22</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		Ja Mella	
	-	Jan M. Ludlow Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1743	
C. Datastand Turksmark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/936,901

Art Unit: 1743

- Applicant's arguments filed October 16, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. Applicant argues that the time-based aliquot embodiment of WO does not teach a fraction in the sense of the instant invention, but points to no language requiring that a fraction is a single band and nothing more. The aliquots of WO are fractions based on timed collection, and since they have passed through the first separation means, they are the results of a separation process, contrary to applicant's argument.
- 3. Applicant then argues that the selected component-based aliquot embodiment of WO does not teach a fraction in the sense of the instant invention in that the instant fraction contains one or more components of interest, but points to no portion of WO requiring that the component is pure prior to the second separation, and fails to understand that even if the component of WO is pure, it constitutes a fraction as defined herein by containing "one" in the "one or more components" constituting a fraction as defined in the instant application.
- 4. The examiner notes that a typographical error exists in the previous office action, and claims 1-4, 10-14 should have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) over WO. In that all the features of claims 13 and 14 are addressed in the rejection, it is clear that the claims were rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jan M. Ludlow whose telephone number is (703) 308-4039. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 11:30 am - 8:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,901

Art Unit: 1743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (703) 308-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Jan M. Ludlow Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

Jml November 1, 2003